

Question Number	Scheme		Marks
1 (a)	When the data is ordinal e.g. Judges' ranks		B1
	When a non-linear relationship might be expected		B1
			(2)
(b)	$H_0: \rho = 0, H_1: \rho \neq 0$		B1
	Critical value $r_s = -0.6485$ or CR: $r_s \leq -0.6485$ (and $r_s \geq 0.6485$ )		B1
	Reject $H_0$ or significant or lies in the critical region		M1
	The Spearman's rank correlation coefficient shows there is sufficient evidence of a correlation [between the length and maximum diameter of the melons]		A1
			(4)
(c)	$H_0: \rho = 0, H_1: \rho < 0$		B1
	Critical value $r = -0.5494$ or CR: $r \leq -0.5494$		B1
	The product moment correlation coefficient shows there is insufficient evidence of a <b>negative</b> correlation [between the length and maximum diameter of the melons]		B1
			(3)
<b>Notes</b>			<b>Total 9</b>
(a)	<b>B1</b>	For one correct condition	
	<b>B1</b>	For a second correct condition. Condone not underlying normal	
(b)	<b>B1</b>	For both hypotheses correct. Must be in terms of $\rho$ . Must be attached to $H_0$ and $H_1$	
	<b>B1</b>	For critical value of $-0.6485$ (Allow $-0.5636$ if a one tailed test is stated for $H_1$ ) Condone $0.6485$ if compared with $0.673$	
	<b>M1</b>	A correct statement – no context needed but do not allow contradicting non contextual comments. fit their CV provided the CV is negative (May be implied by a correct conclusion) Condone a positive CV if a comparison with $0.673$ seen	
	<b>A1</b>	For a correct conclusion which is rejecting $H_0$ Allow negative correlation This mark is independent of the hypotheses	
(c)	<b>B1</b>	For both hypotheses correct. Must be in terms of $\rho$ . Must be attached to $H_0$ and $H_1$	
	<b>B1</b>	For critical value of $-0.5494$ (Allow $-0.6319$ if a two tailed test is stated for $H_1$ ) Condone $0.5494$ if compared with $0.525$	
	<b>B1</b>	For a correct conclusion which is not rejecting $H_0$	

Question Number	Scheme			Marks
2 (a)	$\frac{60 \times 60}{240}$ or $\frac{60 \times 84}{240}$ or $\frac{60 \times 96}{240}$			M1
	15 and 21 and 24			A2
				(3)
(b)	$H_0$ : There is no association between the payment amount and payment method used $H_1$ : There is an association between the payment amount and payment method used			B1
	Observed	Expected	$\frac{(O - E)^2}{E}$	M1
	23	15	$\frac{(23 - '15')^2}{'15'} = 4.2667$	
	21	21	$\frac{(21 - '21')^2}{'21'} = 0$	
	16	24	$\frac{(16 - '24')^2}{'24'} = 2.6667$	
	$\chi^2 = 2.4048 + '4.2667' + '0' + '2.6667'$			M1
	$= 9.3381\dots$			awrt 9.34 A1
	$\nu = (3 - 1)(3 - 1) = 4$ $\chi_4^2(0.05) = 9.488 \Rightarrow \text{CR: } X^2 \geq 9.488$			B1 B1ft
	[Not in the CR/Not significant/Do not reject $H_0$ ] There is no evidence of an association between <b>the payment amount and payment method used</b>			dA1
			(7)	
<b>Notes</b>				<b>Total 10</b>
(a)	<b>M1</b>	For a correct method for finding one expected value		
	<b>A2</b>	For all 3 answers correct (A1 for 2 correct answers or 1 correct and 3 values that sum to 60)		
(b)	<b>B1</b>	Both hypotheses correct. Must mention method <b>and</b> amount with payment at least once. (may be written in terms of independence)		
	<b>M1</b>	For a correct method for finding all three contributions to the $\chi^2$ value ft their part a May be implied by 3 correct values If expected values are incorrect then working must be shown		
	<b>M1</b>	For adding their values to 2.4048 (If all 9 values are calculated the 6 values not found in part (a) must have working shown or the correct values seen or awrt 9.34)		
	<b>A1</b>	awrt 9.34		
	<b>B1</b>	$\nu = 4$ This mark can be implied by a correct critical value of 9.488		
	<b>B1ft</b>	9.488 or better ft their DoF		
	<b>dA1</b>	Dependent on both M marks. A correct contextualised conclusion which is not rejecting $H_0$ Must mention <b>method</b> and <b>amount</b> . If no hypotheses or they are the wrong way round, then A0 here. Contradictory statements score A0. e.g. "Significant, do not reject $H_0$ " ".Condone "relationship" or "connection" here but <b>not</b> "correlation".		

Question Number	Scheme		Marks
3 (a)	It is not a statistic as it involves <u>unknown</u> [population] parameter		B1 (1)
(b)	$E(S) = E\left(\frac{3}{5}X_1 + \frac{5}{7}X_2\right) = \frac{3}{5}E(X_1) + \frac{5}{7}E(X_2)$		M1
	$= \frac{3}{5}\mu + \frac{5}{7}\mu = \frac{46}{35}\mu \neq \mu$ So $S$ is a biased estimator for $\mu$		A1 (2)
(c)	$\frac{46}{35}\mu - \mu = \frac{11}{35}\mu$		B1ft (1)
	$E(Y) = aE(X_1) + bE(X_2) = \mu$ $\Rightarrow (a+b)\mu = \mu$		M1
(d)	$a + b = 1$		A1 (2)
	$\text{Var}(Y) = a^2\text{Var}(X_1) + b^2\text{Var}(X_2) = (a^2 + b^2)\sigma^2$		M1
(e)	$\text{Var}(Y) = (a^2 + (1-a)^2)\sigma^2$		M1
	$\text{Var}(Y) = (2a^2 - 2a + 1)\sigma^2$		A1* (3)
<b>Notes</b>			<b>Total 9</b>
(a)	<b>B1</b>	For a correct explanation Allow $\sigma$ is unknown (Do not allow $\sigma$ is unknown variance)	
(b)	<b>M1</b>	For writing or using $E(S) = aE(X_1) + bE(X_2)$ Condone missing subscripts	
	<b>A1</b>	cao (Allow $1.31\mu \neq \mu$ )	
(c)	<b>B1ft</b>	Follow through their part (a) $-\mu$	
(d)	<b>M1</b>	For writing or using $E(Y) = aE(X_1) + bE(X_2) = \mu$ (May be implied by $a + b = 1$ ) Condone missing subscripts	
	<b>A1</b>	Cao	
(e)	<b>M1</b>	For writing or using $\text{Var}(Y) = a^2\text{Var}(X_1) + b^2\text{Var}(X_2)$ Condone missing subscripts	
	<b>M1</b>	For substitution of $b = 1 - a$ ft their part (d) into their expression for $\text{Var}(Y)$	
	<b>A1*</b>	Answer is given so no incorrect working must be seen	

Question Number	Scheme		Mark
4 (a)	$\left[ \int_a^{a+1} \frac{2}{25} t \, dt \right] = \frac{2}{25} \left[ \frac{t^2}{2} \right]_a^{a+1} \text{ or } F(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t < 0 \\ \frac{1}{25} t^2 & 0 \leq t < 5 \text{ or} \\ 1 & t > 5 \end{cases}$		M1
	$\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2}{25} (a+1) + \frac{2}{25} a \right) (a+1-a)$		
	$\frac{1}{25} ((a+1)^2 - a^2) \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{1}{25} (a+1)^2 - \frac{1}{25} a^2 \quad \text{or} \quad \left( \frac{1}{25} a + \frac{1}{25} + \frac{1}{25} a \right)$		M1
	$\frac{1}{25} (a^2 + 2a + 1 - a^2) \text{ oe } \left[ = \frac{1}{25} (2a + 1) \right]^*$		A1*
			(3)
(b)	$H_0$ : The data could be modelled by the p.d.f $H_1$ : The data could not be modelled by the p.d.f		B1
	Expected frequencies: 6, 18, 30, 42, 54		M1 A1
	$\sum \frac{(O-E)^2}{E} = \frac{(10 - '6')^2}{'6'} + \dots + \frac{(68 - '54')^2}{'54'}$		M1
	$\text{or } \sum \frac{O^2}{E} - N = \frac{10^2}{'6'} + \dots + \frac{68^2}{'54'} - 150 \text{ or } 2.666\dots + 1.388\dots + 1.2 + 1.166\dots + 3.629$		
	= 10.05... awrt 10.1		A1
	$\nu = 4$		B1
	$\chi_4^2(0.05) = 9.488 \Rightarrow \text{CR} \geq 9.488$		B1ft
	[In the CR so there is sufficient evidence to reject $H_0$ ]		
	Sufficient evidence to say that data does not fit the given p.d.f		dA1
			(8)
<b>Notes</b>			<b>Total</b> <b>11</b>
(a)	<b>M1</b>	For correct integration, ignore limits or finding the area of a trapezium	
	<b>M1</b>	For substitution of the limits. May be implied by $\frac{1}{25}(a^2 + 2a + 1 - a^2)$ or simplifying the expression for the area of the trapezium	
	<b>A1*</b>	Answer is given so no incorrect working should be seen. At least one correct line of working from the method mark to the final answer should be seen	
(b)	<b>B1</b>	Both hypotheses correct. Allow $H_0$ : The p.d.f/f(t) is a suitable model $H_1$ : The p.d.f/f(t) is not a suitable model	
	<b>M1</b>	For a correct method to find at least one expected frequency e.g. $\frac{1}{25} \times 150$ Ignore any reference to limits	
	<b>A1</b>	For all 5 expected frequencies correct	
	<b>M1</b>	For an attempt at the test statistic, at least 2 correct expressions/values ft their expected frequencies	
	<b>A1</b>	awrt 10.1	
	<b>B1</b>	$\nu = 4$ This mark can be implied by a correct critical value of 9.488	
	<b>B1ft</b>	9.488 or better ft their DoF	
	<b>dA1</b>	Dependent on 2 <sup>nd</sup> M1. A correct conclusion based on their $\chi^2$ critical value If no hypotheses or they are the wrong way round, then A0 here.	

Question Number	Scheme		Marks
5 (a)	$\bar{x} \pm 1.6449 \times \frac{5}{\sqrt{10}}$		M1 B1
	$\bar{x} \pm 2.60 \Rightarrow (\bar{x} - 2.60, \bar{x} + 2.60) *$		A1*
			(3)
(b)	$\bar{y} \pm 1.96 \times \frac{3}{\sqrt{20}}$		M1 B1
	$\bar{y} \pm 1.31 \Rightarrow (\bar{y} - 1.31, \bar{y} + 1.31)$		A1
			(3)
(c)(i)	$\bar{X} - \bar{Y} \sim N\left(\mu - \mu, \frac{5^2}{10} + \frac{3^2}{20}\right) \Rightarrow \bar{X} - \bar{Y} \sim N(0, 2.95)$		M1 A1
(ii)	Do not overlap when either		
	$\bar{x} - 2.60 > \bar{y} + '1.31'$ or $\bar{x} + 2.60 < \bar{y} - '1.31'$		M1
	$\bar{x} - \bar{y} > 3.91$ or $\bar{x} - \bar{y} < -3.91$		A1ft
	$2 \times P(\bar{X} - \bar{Y} > 3.91) = 2 \times P\left(Z > \frac{'3.91' - '0'}{'\sqrt{2.95}'}\right) = [2 \times P(Z > 2.276\dots)]$		M1 M1
	$[2 \times 0.0113] = 0.0226$ (calculator gives $[2 \times 0.0114\dots] = 0.0228$ )		A1
			(7)
<b>Notes</b>			<b>Total 3</b>
(a)	<b>M1</b>	For use of $\bar{x} \pm z$ value $\times \frac{5}{\sqrt{10}}$	
	<b>B1</b>	For use of $z = 1.6449$ or better	
	<b>A1*</b>	Answer is given so no incorrect working should be seen (condone use of 1.645)	
(b)	<b>M1</b>	For use of $\bar{y} \pm z$ value $\times \frac{3}{\sqrt{20}}$	
	<b>B1</b>	For use of $z = 1.96$ or better	
	<b>A1</b>	For $(\bar{y} - \text{awrt}1.31, \bar{y} + \text{awrt}1.31)$ Allow 1.315	
(c)(i)	<b>M1</b>	For a correct method to find the variance (May be seen in a standardisation expression)	
	<b>A1</b>	For $N(0, 2.95)$ (May be seen in a standardisation expression) Allow $N\left(0, \frac{5^2}{10} + \frac{3^2}{20}\right)$ oe	
(ii)	<b>M1</b>	For $\bar{x} - 2.60 > \bar{y} + 1.31$ oe or $\bar{x} + 2.60 > \bar{y} - 1.31$ oe ft part (b)	
	<b>A1ft</b>	For $\bar{x} - \bar{y} > '3.91'$ or $\bar{x} - \bar{y} < -'3.91'$ ft part (b)	
	<b>M1</b>	For multiplying by 2 (may be seen at any stage of their working)	
	<b>M1</b>	For standardising ft their 3.91, their mean and their standard deviation (Do not allow use of 2.6 or 1.31 as their 3.91)	
	<b>A1</b>	For answers in the range awrt 0.0226 – awrt 0.0228	

Question Number	Scheme		Marks
6 (a)	$\alpha = 5.1$		B1
	$\beta = \sqrt{\frac{1694.65 - 65 \times (5.1)^2}{64}}$		M1
	$= 0.25$		A1
			(3)
(b)	$H_0 : \mu_A = \mu_B$ $H_1 : \mu_A < \mu_B$		B1
	$z = \pm \frac{5.0 - 5.1}{\sqrt{\frac{0.24^2}{70} + \frac{0.25^2}{65}}}$		M1 M1
	$= -2.367...$		awrt -2.37
	One tailed c.v. $z = -1.6449$ or CR: $z \leq -1.6449$		B1
	In CR/Significant/Reject $H_0$		M1
	Sufficient evidence to support Roxane's claim		A1
			(7)
(c)	Since the sample is <b>large</b> the <b>CLT</b> applies.		M1
	No [need to assume that the fat content is normally distributed]		A1
			(2)
(d)	Assumed that $s^2 = \sigma^2$ in <b>both</b> groups		B1
			(1)
<b>Notes</b>			<b>Total 13</b>
(a)	<b>B1</b>	cao	
	<b>M1</b>	For a correct method to find $\beta$ using their $\alpha$	
	<b>A1</b>	Cao	
(b)	<b>B1</b>	Both hypotheses correct. Allow equivalent hypotheses. Must be in terms of $\mu$	
	<b>M1</b>	For correct standard error fit their $s$ in part a	
	<b>M1</b>	For an attempt to find the test statistic, fit their SE and their $\alpha$	
	<b>A1</b>	awrt -2.37 (Allow 2.37)	
	<b>B1</b>	-1.6449 or better (seen) (Allow 1.6449 or better if comparing to their 2.37)	
(c)	<b>M1</b>	A correct statement – need not be contextual but do not allow contradicting non contextual comments. fit their CV and test statistic	
	<b>A1</b>	A correct contextual statement e.g sufficient evidence to support that crisps from <b>brand A</b> have a <b>lower fat</b> content than the crisps from <b>brand B</b> (must include the words in bold)	
(c)	<b>M1</b>	A suitable comment that mentions large and CLT	
	<b>A1</b>	A correct answer, context not required.	
(d)	<b>B1</b>	For the assumption that sample variance = population variance for <b>both</b> groups	

Question Number	Scheme		Marks	
7 (a)	$E(X) = 4 \times 15 - 3 \times 10 [= 30]$		M1	
	$\text{Var}(X) = 4^2 \times 5^2 + 3^2 \times 4^2 [= 544]$		M1	
	So $X \sim N(30, 544)$			
	$P(X < 40) = P\left(Z < \frac{40 - '30'}{\sqrt{544}}\right) [= P(Z < 0.428...)]$		M1	
	$= 0.6664$	(Calculator gives 0.6659...)	awrt 0.666	A1
			(4)	
(b)	$E(A + B + D) = 15 + 10 + 3 \times 20 = [85]$		M1	
	$\text{Var}(A + B + D) = 5^2 + 4^2 + 3 \times \sigma^2 = [41 + 3\sigma^2]$		M1	
	So $A + B + D \sim N(85, 41 + 3\sigma^2)$			
	$P(A + B + D < 76) = P\left(Z < \frac{76 - 85}{\sqrt{41 + 3\sigma^2}}\right) = 0.242$			
	So $\frac{-9}{\sqrt{41 + 3\sigma^2}} = -0.7$	or $\frac{9}{\sqrt{41 + 3\sigma^2}} = 0.7$	(Calculator gives $-0.69988...$ )	M1 A1
	$3\sigma^2 = \left(\frac{-9}{-0.7}\right)^2 - 41$			dM1
	$\sigma = 6.437...$		awrt 6.44	A1
			(6)	
<b>Notes</b>			<b>Total 10</b>	
(a)	<b>M1</b>	For a correct method to find $E(X)$ . May be implied by a correct standardisation expression.		
	<b>M1</b>	For a correct method to find $\text{Var}(X)$ Allow $\sqrt{544}$ oe or $23.3^2$ or better. May be implied by a correct standardisation expression.		
	<b>M1</b>	For standardising ( $\pm$ ) using their mean and their variance		
	<b>A1</b>	awrt 0.666		
(b)	<b>M1</b>	For a correct method to find $E(A + B + D)$		
	<b>M1</b>	For a correct method to find $\text{Var}(A + B + D)$		
	<b>M1</b>	For standardising ( $\pm$ ) using their mean and their standard deviation which is in terms of $\sigma^2$ and setting equal to $-0.7$ or better. Allow $+0.7$		
	<b>A1</b>	For the correct equation		
	<b>dM1</b>	Dependent on the previous M mark. For squaring and rearranging leading to an equation in $\sigma^2$		
	<b>A1</b>	awrt 6.44 (Do not award if previous A mark was not awarded)		