

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
1	$\text{est}(\mu) (= 153.2 \div 75) = 2.04$ (3 sf)	<b>B1</b>	
	$\text{est}(\sigma^2) = \frac{75}{74} \left( \frac{340.24}{75} - "2.04267"{}^2 \right)$ oe	<b>M1</b>	
	$= 0.369$ (3 sf)	<b>A1</b>	Accept 0.368
		<b>3</b>	

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
2(i)	$\frac{20}{100} \pm z \times \sqrt{\frac{0.2 \times (1-0.2)}{100}}$	<b>M1</b>	Any z
	$z = 1.881$ or 1.882	<b>B1</b>	
	$= 0.125$ to 0.275	<b>A1</b>	
		<b>3</b>	
2(ii)	$\frac{1}{6}$ is within this range No evidence of bias concerning 2	<b>B1ft</b>	Both statements needed
		<b>1</b>	

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
3	$N(153, 153)$	<b>B1</b>	Seen or implied
	$\frac{139.5-153}{\sqrt{153}}$ (= -1.091)	<b>M1</b>	Allow with wrong or no cc
	$\Phi(" -1.091") = 1 - \Phi("1.091")$	<b>M1</b>	For area consistent with their working
	$= 0.138$ (3 sf)	<b>A1</b>	
		<b>4</b>	

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
4(i)	mean= 155.1	<b>B1</b>	
	var = $1.5^2 \times 10.2$ (= 22.95) sd = $\sqrt{22.95}$	<b>M1</b>	or $1.5 \times \sqrt{10.2}$
	$= 4.79$	<b>A1</b>	
		<b>3</b>	

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
4(ii)	mean = 103.4 + “155.1” (= 258.5) var = 10.2 + “22.95” (=33.15)	<b>B1ft</b>	Both. ft their 155.1 and 22.95. Accept sd.
	$\frac{250 - 258.5}{\sqrt{33.15}}$ (= -1.476)	<b>M1</b>	Standardising – no sd/var mix. Their mean/sd must be from an attempt at combination
	$1 - \Phi(-1.476) = \Phi(1.476)$	<b>M1</b>	For area consistent with their working
	= 0.930 (3 sf)	<b>A1</b>	Allow 0.93
		<b>4</b>	

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
5(i)	$\frac{14 - 14.2}{\frac{3.1}{\sqrt{50}}}$ (= - 0.456)	<b>M1</b>	For stand'n; must have $\sqrt{50}$
	$1 - \Phi(“0.456”)$	<b>M1</b>	for area consistent with their working
	= 0.324 (3 sfs)	<b>A1</b>	
		<b>3</b>	
5(ii)	No because $n$ large	<b>B1</b>	Accept $n > 30$
		<b>1</b>	
5(iii)	$H_0: \mu = 14.2$ $H_1: \mu < 14.2$	<b>B1</b>	or ‘pop mean’, but not just ‘mean’
	$\frac{13.5 - 14.2}{\frac{3.1}{\sqrt{100}}}$	<b>M1</b>	For stand'n; must have $\sqrt{100}$
	= -2.258	<b>A1</b>	
	comp -2.054 (or -2.055)	<b>M1</b>	Valid comparison of z values or areas (0.0119 < 0.02)
	There is evidence (at 2% level) that mean mass in this area < 14.2	<b>A1ft</b>	Ft their z. Correct conclusion no contradictions
		<b>5</b>	

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
6(i)	$\int_5^{10} \frac{k}{x^2} dx = 1$	<b>M1</b>	Attempt integration $f(x)$ and ' $= 1$ '; ignore limits
	$\left[-\frac{k}{x}\right]_5^{10} = 1$ oe $\left(\frac{k}{5} - \frac{k}{10} = 1\right)$	<b>A1</b>	Correct integration and limits and ' $= 1$ '
	$k = 10$ <b>AG</b>	<b>A1</b>	No errors seen
		<b>3</b>	
6(ii)	$10 \int_5^{10} \frac{1}{x} dx$ $10 [\ln x]_5^{10}$	<b>M1</b>	Attempt integ $xf(x)$ ; ignore limits.  or $10(\ln 10 - \ln 5)$
	$= 10 \ln 2$ <b>AG</b>	<b>A1</b>	No errors seen
		<b>2</b>	
6(iii)	$10 \int_9^{10} \frac{1}{x^2} dx$ $\left(10 \left[-\frac{1}{x}\right]_9^{10}\right)$	<b>M1</b>	Attempt integ $f(x)$ with correct limits
	$10 \left[-\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{9}\right]$	<b>A1</b>	Substitute correct limits in correct integration
	$= \frac{1}{9}$ or 0.111 (3 sf)	<b>A1</b>	
		<b>3</b>	
6(iv)	$\int_5^a \frac{k}{x^2} dx = 0.6$ $10 \left[-\frac{1}{x}\right]_5^a = 0.6$	<b>M1</b>	Attempt integration of $f(x)$ with correct limits and $= 0.6$
	$10 \left[\frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{a}\right] = 0.6$	<b>A1</b>	Substitute correct limits in correct integration
	$a = \frac{50}{7}$ or 7.14 (3 sf)	<b>A1</b>	
		<b>3</b>	

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
7(i)	Po(1.0)	<b>B1</b>	Seen or implied
	$e^{-1} (1 + 1 + \frac{1^2}{2})$	<b>M1</b>	Allow any $\lambda$ . Allow one end error.
	= 0.920 (3 sfs)	<b>A1</b>	
		<b>3</b>	
7(ii)	$P(X > 3) = 1 - e^{-1.5}(1 + 1.5 + \frac{1.5^2}{2} + \frac{1.5^3}{3!})$	<b>M1</b>	Allow any $\lambda$ . Allow one end error
	= 0.0656	<b>A1</b>	
		<b>2</b>	
7(iii)(a)	Incorrectly concluding that more absences than usual when there are not oe	<b>B1</b>	In context
		<b>1</b>	
7(iii)(b)	$H_0: \lambda = 1.5$ (or 0.3) $H_1: \lambda > 1.5$ (or 0.3)	<b>B1</b>	Or $\mu$ Both
	$P(X > 4) = \text{"0.0656"} - e^{-1.5} \times \frac{1.5^4}{4!}$ = 0.0186 (3 sf)	<b>M1</b>	or $1 - e^{-1.5}(1 + 1.5 + \frac{1.5^2}{2} + \frac{1.5^3}{3!} + \frac{1.5^4}{4!})$
	$P(\text{Type I}) = 0.0186$ or 0.0185	<b>A1ft</b>	Ft their $P(X > 4)$ if less than 0.05
		<b>3</b>	
7(iii)(c)	$P(X > 3) = \text{"0.0656"}$	<b>B1ft</b>	Ft their (ii)
	$0.0656 > 0.05$	<b>M1</b>	
	No evidence of more than usual male absences	<b>A1ft</b>	Ft their $P(X > 3)$ . Correct conclusion. No contradictions.
		<b>3</b>	